arXiv:1703.07311v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 21 Mar 2017

Magnetic transitions in Sr-doped $YBaCuFeO_5$: A DFT and QMC study

Dibyendu Dey,[1,*] S. Nandy,[1] T. Maitra,[2,†] C. S. Yadav,[3] and A. Taraphder[1,4]

[1] *Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur - 721302, India*
[2] *Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee - 247667, India*
[3] *School of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi, Himachal Pradesh 175001, India*
[4] *Centre for Theoretical Studies, Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur, Kharagpur - 721302, India*

(Dated: March 22, 2017)

Mutiferroic materials where electric polarization is induced by spiral magnetic phase are immensely important for technological applications. Recently, the transition temperature of the spiral phase in $YBaCuFeO_5$ is found to rise to the room temperature range through A-site doping. Motivated by this remarkable observation, we investigate the magnetic phase transitions in $YBa_{1-x}Sr_xCuFeO_5$ in the entire doping range $0 \leq x \leq 1$ using first-principles density functional theory calculations (DFT) followed by a Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) calculation. We estimate the in-plane and out of plane nearest (NN) and next nearest neighbour (NNN) magnetic exchange interactions between Fe-Cu, Fe-Fe and Cu-Cu spins, as a function of doping, for the experimentally observed commensurate magnetic structure from DFT calculations within LSDA+U approximation. We observe a strong dependence of the exchange interactions on Sr doping. While the intra-bipyramidal, Fe-Cu ferromagnetic (FM) coupling show competing trends with doping for Sr and Ba separated bilayers, the inter-bipyramidal, Fe-Fe or Cu-Cu antiferromagnetic (AFM) couplings decrease monotonically with doping. The FM NNN interaction, which is crucial for the formation of spiral magnetic state, is also found to increase with doping, though the magnitude is small. The calculated exchange interactions are then used in our QMC calculation to study the temparature-dependence of the magnetic suceptibility and specific heat. We observe that the paramagnetic to commensurate phase transition temperature increases with doping till x=0.5 and decreases beyond. Our observations are consistent with the experimental findings on these systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multiferroic materials, where ferroelectricity is induced by the spiral magnetic order, have drawn huge attention recently from both condensed matter and material scientists because of their immense potential in device applications [1–5]. The main objective in this area is to design materials with high transition temperature of the spiral magnetic order. Since in the non-geometrically frustrated systems, the spiral state results from competing nearest-neighbour (J_{NN}) and next-nearest-neighbour exchange (J_{NNN}) interactions[6], it is desired to have high values for such interactions. However, in transition-metal oxides such interaction strengths are found to be very weak[7] which gives rise to low transition temperature for the magnetic spiral state. There are only two exceptions where magnetism driven ferroelectricity is observed through a stable spiral state close to the room temperature. One is cupric oxide CuO[8,9], where a spiral phase is observed at temperatures above 200K, but only in a narrow temperature window between 213K to 230 K[8,10]. In this system the monoclinic symmetry with frustrating magnetic interactions and competing NN and NNN exchange interactions stabilize the magnetic spiral around room temperature. The second one is the oxygen-deficient layered perovskite $YBaCuFeO_5$ (YBCFO), which displays multiferroism at unexpectedly high temperatures[11]. Large, spontaneous electric polarization[11,12] has been reported in the magnetic spiral phase in this system[8,11–13] within a wide temperature range (almost ten times of that in CuO) at zero magnetic field. YBCFO crystallizes in tetragonal structure with $P4mm$ symmetry[13]. The structure is not geometrically frustrated. Due to the similar ionic size of Fe and Cu it has not been possible to assign any ordering of Fe/Cu ions from experiments. First-principles density functional theory calculations show that the lowest energy structure, which gives rise to (experimentally observed)ferromagnetic interactions among the bilayers, is the one which has preferential Fe-Cu pairs as shown in Fig. 1. YBCFO undergoes two magnetic transitions[11–17]. Higher temperature paramagnetic (PM) to commensurate antiferromagnetic phase transition occurs at $T = T_{N1}$ with magnetic propagation vector $\boldsymbol{k}_{cm}=(1/2,1/2,1/2)$[12,13] whereas an incommensurate magnetic ordering sets in at a lower temperature below $T = T_{N2}$. The neutron powder diffraction (NPD) data shows the appearance of incommensurate magnetic ordering below T_{N2} along c axis with propagation vector $\boldsymbol{k}_{icm}=(1/2,1/2,1/2 \pm q)$[12,13]. The incommenssurate magnetic phase is also observed to be ferroelectric in nature.

Recently, it has been experimentally shown by Morin et al.[13] that controlled introduction of chemical disorder into YBCFO in the form of Fe-Fe or Cu-Cu impurity bonds can enhance the stability of magnetic spiral state further giving rise to a large increase in the transition temperature T_{N2} beyond the room temperature. In this work, the authors have been able to raise T_{N2} to as high as 310K from 150K by creating small amount of impurity bonds during the preparation of the sample[13]. Subsequently, theoretical calculations based on Monte-

Carlo simulation have shown that these impurity bonds introduce a large out of plane antiferromagnetic exchange interaction which in turn stabilizes the spiral state[18,19].

Application of pressure or doping isovalent ions at the A sites also believed to have similar effect to that of chemical disorder as described above. In a very recent experimental measurements one of the authors of this work has observed the enhancement of T_{N2} by doping Ba with Sr[20]. Motivated by these observations, we theoretically investigate the effect of A site doping from first-principles DFT and QMC calculations. It would be interesting to see how the NN and NNN exchange interactions evolve with the doping concentration and also how these interactions will compete with each other in order to stabilize or destabilize the commensurate and incommensurate (spiral) magnetic phases seen in the parent compound.



FIG. 1. (a)$\sqrt{2} \times \sqrt{2} \times 2$ Supercell of $YBa_{1-x}Sr_xCuFeO_5$ showing FeO_5 and CuO_5 square pyramids in golden and blue colours respectively. (b) Experimentally observed magnetic structure of commensurate phase.

II. METHODOLOGY

In order to study the electronic properties of $YBa_{1-x}Sr_xCuFeO_5$ and estimate the various exchange interactions of the system, we have employed the first-principles density functional theory (DFT)[21] calculations within local spin-density approximation (LSDA). In our DFT calculations, we have used the plane-wave and psuedopotential based method as implemented in the Vienna ab-*initio* Simulation Package (VASP)[22]. For all these calculations we have used projector augmented wave (PAW) potentials[23]. The wave functions were expanded in the plane-wave basis with a kinetic-energy cutoff of 600 eV. Reciprocal space integration was carried out with a k-mesh of $8 \times 8 \times 4$. In order to incorporate the electron correlation effects beyond LSDA, which is important to describe proper ground states of transition metal oxides, we have carried out LSDA+U[24] calculations with U being the on-site Coulomb correlation. We considered the value of U to be 5 eV for Fe and 8 eV for Cu as previously used in literature. The corresponding Hunds coupling strengths (J) were set to J_{Fe} =1 eV and J_{Cu}=0 for Fe and Cu, respectively[12]. The structural parameters were taken from experiments[20,25] for the parent and all the doped structures [Table 1]. Ionic positions of each structure are then optimized keeping the lattice constants at their respective experimental values.

We have further carried out Quantum Monte-Carlo (QMC) calculations using the magnetic exchange interactions estimated from our DFT calculations to study the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility and specific heat of these systems as well as the doping dependence of paramagnetic to commensurate phase transition temperature[26]. These calculations were performed on a $16 \times 16 \times 32$ supercell with periodic boundary condition.

III. RESULTS

A. Crystal and Magnetic Structure

$YBa_{1-x}Sr_xCuFeO_5$ have the layered perovskite structure for the entire range of doping as shown in Fig 1(a). In the tetragonal structure, two square pyramids FeO_5 and CuO_5 are connected via apical Oxygen forming a bilayer of bipyramids. Ba^{2+}/Sr^{2+} ions go into the interstitial positions in between the two square pyramidal layers. These bipyramidal layers are separated by a layer of Y^{3+} ions. The reported space group is noncentrosymmetric $P4mm$, where the perfect ordering of Fe/Cu along c is expected[12]. In our ab-*initio* calculations we have considered a supercell with $a_s=\sqrt{2}a$ and c_s=2c (a and c are the crystallographic unit cell parameters) containing four formula units, as depicted in Fig 1(a). This construction of the supercell was required to incorporate the commensurate magnetic structure depicted in Fig 1(b). In this magnetic phase, the bipyramidal units connected via apical Oxygen are preferentially occupied by Cu-Fe pairs and the interaction within these Cu-Fe dimers is ferromagnetic (FM) in nature. Whereas Cu-Cu and Fe-Fe pairs separated by Y layers are antiferromagnetically coupled. Furthermore, all the nearest-neighbour (NN) interactions within the ab-plane are AFM.

At lower temperature (below T_{N2}) a spiral magnetic state is formed which is primarily due to the appearance of non-collinear spin arrangements within the bipyramidal layer (Cu-Fe pairs) along c direction. However, inter bipyramidal exchange (Cu-Cu and Fe-Fe) interactions remain AFM in the incommensurate phase as well. Recent theoretical investigations have looked into the origin of this spiral state[12,18]. One option to create frustration in the unfrustrated commenssurate phase is

x	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)
0.00	3.8711	3.8711	7.6629
0.25	3.8603	3.8603	7.6595
0.50	3.8506	3.8506	7.6517
0.75	3.8408	3.8408	7.6342
1.00	3.8317	3.8317	7.6076

TABLE I. Experimental Lattice Constants of $YBa_{1-x}Sr_xCuFeO_5$[20,25]

x	J_1	J_2	J_3	J_4	J_{p1}	J_{p2}	J_{NNN}
0.00	-2.016	-2.016	14.01	2.86	149.5	8.78	-0.069
0.25	-2.383	-1.783	13.52	2.68	150.5	8.95	-0.087
0.50	-2.766	-1.646	13.10	2.59	155.5	9.15	-0.117
0.75	-2.511	-1.940	12.59	2.40	146.7	9.27	-0.095
1.00	-2.325	-2.325	12.27	2.31	147.6	9.41	-0.087

TABLE II. NN and NNN exchange interaction strengths in $YBa_{1-x}Sr_xCuFeO_5$ in meV

to have a strong ferromagnetic next nearest neighbour exchange interaction (J_{NNN}). However, first-principles estimation of J_{NNN} shows that the magnitude of this interaction (when ferromagnetic) is too small to cause enough frustration to destabilize the commensurate phase in favour of incommensurate magnetic phase[12]. More recent reports have correlated the origin of spiral state to the inherent presence of impurity bonds (Fe-Fe or Cu-Cu) within the bipyramidal layers as defects. It has been shown that these impurity bonds introduce strong AFM exchange interactions within the bilayer which are primarily ferromagnetic and thus creates frustration leading to the canting of spins within these bilayers. In this work we look into an alternative route to stabilization of the spiral state through A-site doping as has been observed in recent experimental measurements in Sr doped YBCFO[20].

B. Magnetic Exchange Interactions

In order to see the effect of Sr doping on the various magnetic exchange interactions present in YBCFO, we have performed DFT calculation of $YBa_{1-x}Sr_xCuFeO_5$ compound for $x = 0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1$. For $x = 0.5$ case we observed that alternate layers containing only Sr or Ba has the lower energy than the both layers having equal Sr and Ba. We have calculated the exchange interaction stregths for the relaxed structures of parent and doped compounds considering the commensurate magnetic structure shown in Fig 1(b) within LSDA+U. We have extracted the exchange interactions (J) by mapping total energy difference between FM and AFM configurations for a pair of spins to the classical Heisenberg Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} J_{ij} S_i S_j$[12]. Calculated exchange interaction strengths are listed in Table 2 which are comparable to the previously reported values for parent compound[12]. Here J_{p1} and J_{p2} are the in-plane Cu-Cu and Fe-Fe NN exchange couplings respectively which are found to be AFM in nature for all the doped compounds. We observe that the strongest exchange interaction is in the ab-plane (J_{p1}) for parent as well as all the doped compounds. The interactions along c (J_1, J_2, J_3 and J_4) are much weaker (almost one order of magnitude) compared to this. Therefore, J_{p1} sets the scale of the transition temperature for paramagnetic to commensurate phase transition. We observe that the J_{p1} increases with doping up to $x = 0.5$ and then decreases for $x > 0.5$. One would expect commensurate phase transition temperature (T_{N1}) also to follow a similar trend.

Along c, two types of NN interactions exist in the commensurate magnetic phase; one is intra-bipyramidal ferromagnetic exchange interactions between Fe and Cu and the other is inter-bipyramidal antiferromagnetic exchange interaction between Fe-Fe and Cu-Cu pairs. We discuss below how various exchange interactions along c evolve with respect to doping.

C. NN-FM interaction

As we replace Ba with Sr ions having smaller ionic size, the thickness of bipyramidal layer decreases compared to that in the parent compound $YBaCuFeO_5$. For x=0.25, the bilayer containing Sr shrinks and its thickness (d_1) as shown in Fig. 2 decreases whereas the thinkness of the bilayer not containing Sr (d_2) increases. This affects the corresponding exchange interactions as expected. As we see in Fig 2(b) ferromagnetic exchange interaction strength between Fe-Cu pairs (J_1) corresponding to bilayer containing Sr increases whereas the same for the bilayer not containing Sr (J_2) decreases (Fig 2(c)). This trend is followed for x=0.5 case as well where Sr and Ba segregate into different bilayers (see Fig 2(b)). The decrease of the ferromagnetic exchange interaction strength can be seen as the development of an antiferromagnetic component which could play a role in stabilizing further the spiral state.

For $x > 0.5$ when both the bilayers contain Sr ions, the dependence of (d_1) and (d_2) on doping is opposite to what is observed in $x < 0.5$ case so are the behaviour of J_1 and J_2. Note that at x=1.0 (i.e. $YSrCuFeO_5$) the FM exchange strengths of both bilayers are equal and is slightly more than corresponding exchange strengths for x=0 (i.e. $YBaCuFeO_5$). As it is being reported in the literature that the incommensurate phase is connected with the appearance of non-collinearity within the bilayers, the stabilization of FM exchange in $YSrCuFeO_5$ could imply that in this compound commensurate phase is more stable. The sole experimental report on this compound[25] indeed shows that there is no commensurate-incommensurate phase transition in this compound.



FIG. 2. (a) d_1 (d_2) marked in the crystal structure as the thickness of the Sr (Ba)-containing bipyramidal layers (b) Variation of d_1 and J_1 with doping (c) d_2 and J_2 with doping.



FIG. 3. (a) d_3(d_4) marked in the crystal structure as the inter bilayer distances separated by a layer of Y-ions (b) Variation of d_3 and J_3 with doping, (c) d_4 and J_4 with doping.

D. NN-AFM interaction

In addition to affecting the Fe-Cu ferromagnetic exchange interactions within the bipyramidal layers, Sr doping is also seen to influence the AFM exchange interactions present between Cu-Cu and Fe-Fe ions in adjacent bilayers as shown in Fig 3. The inter bipyramidal distances (d_3 and d_4) are observed to increase with doping almost linearly. The corresponding AFM exchanges (J_3 and J_4) are observed to decrease monotonically with Sr doping. This effect is similar to what was observed in a recent experimental measurement in YBCFO with Fe/Cu chemical disorder[13] where the authors report that on increasing disorder the inter-bilayer distance increased whereas intra-bilayer distance decreased.

In Fig. 4 we have plotted the ratios J_1/J_3 and J_2/J_3 with doping. We observe that these two ratios follow opposite trend with respect to doping. Therefore, competition between these two interaction ratios will decide the magnetic phase diagram of this system which is more complex than that due to Fe/Cu chemical disorder.

E. NNN interaction

Finally it is important to study the next near-neighbour (NNN) exchange interaction as it can play a very important role in stabilizing the incommensurate



FIG. 4. (a) Variation of J_1/J_3 and J_2/J_3 with doping

phase. In Fig. 5 we plot calculated NNN exchange interaction strength (d_{NNN}) with respect to doping along with the corresponding NNN distance (d_{NNN}). We observe that the interaction is ferromagnetic which is required to generate frustration in commensurate phase. Though the strength by far is the smallest, it is seen to increase with Sr doping up to x=0.5 and then decreases with higher doping. This result implies that if there a spiral state in the parent compound then with doping it will get stabilized at least up to x=0.5 Sr doping.

F. QMC results

We performed QMC calculations with a Heisenberg spin Hamiltonian using the magnetic exchange interactions estimated from our DFT calculations as discussed above. J_{NNN} has not been included in this calculation



FIG. 5. (a) d_{NNN} shown in the crystal structure (b) Variation of J_{NNN} with doping



FIG. 6. Magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature at Sr doping (a) x=0.00, (b) x=0.25, (c) x=0.50 (d) x=0.75 (e) x=1.00. Variation in the specific heat with temperature is shown in the inset, (f) Variation of T_{N1} (location of the peak of the specific heat, dashed vertical line in (a)-(e) insets) with x.

as it is found to be quite small. In Fig. 6 (a)-(e) we present the temperature-dependence of the magnetic susceptibility and specific heat (inset) at different Sr-doping. We clearly see the magnetic transition from the peak in the susceptibility and specific heat curves. A sharp peak is seen to appear in the specific heat curves at the magnetic transition temperature (shown by dashed lines) whereas the peak is broader in susceptibility around the T_N. In Fig. 6(f) we plot the variation of T_{N1} with doping. The transition temperature increases with doping up to $x = 0.5$ and reaches it's maximum at 444 K. However, on further doping, the transition temperature starts to decrease again. The calculated T_{N1}s for both the parent compounds match very well with the previous experimental and theoretical reports[12,13,25]. Experimental observations on paramagnetic to commensurate phase transition for YBCFO[12] and YSCFO[25] show that the T_{N1} is higher in case of YBCFO than that for YSCFO which agrees very well with our QMC results.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a systematic study of magnetic phase transitions in Sr doped YBCFO using first principles DFT and QMC calculations for the entire range of doping. The effect of replacing larger Ba ions by smaller Sr ions is seen to create substantial structural distortion in terms of inter- and intra bilayer separation along c direction in YBCFO which we have estimated through the structural optimization within LSDA. The doping induced structural changes then affect various magnetic exchange interactions responsible for the magnetic transitions seen in these compounds in experiments. We have evaluated in plane and out of plane NN and NNN exchange interactions between Fe-Cu, Fe-Fe and Cu-Cu spins within LSDA+U approximation for the experimentally observed commensurate magnetic structure. Our results agree very well with the previous theoretical calculations of the exchange interactions for the parent compound YBCFO[12]. We observe a strong dependence of exchange interactions on Sr doping. The Fe-Cu exchange (J_1) along c direction within the bipyramidal layer separated by Sr is ferromagnetic and is seen to increase with doping up to $x \leq 0.5$ while the same within bipyramidal layer separated by Ba (J_2) is seen to decrease. The reverse trend is observed in J_1 and J_2 in the region $x > 0.5$. In addition, the inter bipyramidal (separated by Y) AFM exchange couplings J_3 and J_4 between Cu-Cu and Fe-Fe pairs decrease continuously as we dope Sr. Most importantly, the next nearest neighbour exchange interaction J_{NNN} is ferromagnetic and is also seen to increase with doping up to x=0.5 even if the interaction strength is small. As the FM NNN interaction can cause frustration in the commensurate phase favouring a spiral magnetic state, its enhancement with doping clearly indicates that the spiral state present in the parent compound will be more stabilized with Sr doping at Ba sites. We have also performed QMC calculations with the magnetic exchange parameters obtained from our DFT calculations and calculated the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility and specific heat for the entire range of doping. Clear signature of magnetic transition is seen in both susceptibility and specific heat curves. Transition temperature is seen to increase with doping up to x=0.5 and then decreases. These observations are found to be consistent with experimental observations in these compounds[20].

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors (DD, SN, and AT) acknowledge the use of the computing facility from DST-Fund for Improvement of S & T infrastructure (phase-II) Project installed in the Department of Physics, IIT Kharagpur, India. DD acknowledges DST, India for INSPIRE research fellowship. SN acknowledges MHRD, India for support. AT acknowledges CSIR (India) for funding under the project

grant no: 03 (1373)/16/EMR-II, Dt: 10-05-2016.

* dibyendu@phy.iitkgp.ernet.in
† corresponding author: tulimfph@iitr.ac.in

[1] H. Katsura, N. Nagaosa, and A. V. Balatsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 057205 (2005).
[2] M. Mostovoy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 067601 (2006).
[3] D. Khomskii, Physics **2** (2009).
[4] Y. Tokura and S. Seki, Advanced Materials **22**, 1554 (2010).
[5] T. Kimura, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. **37**, 387 (2007).
[6] A. Herpin, Theorie du magnetisme (Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1968).
[7] J. B. Goodenough, Magnetism and the Chemical Bond(Wiley, Cambridge, MA, 1963).
[8] T. Kimura, Y. Sekio, H. Nakamura, T. Siegrist, and A. Ramirez, Nat. Mater. **7**,291(2008).
[9] G. Giovannetti, S. Kumar, A. Stroppa, J. van den Brink, S. Picozzi, and J. Lorenzana, Phys.Rev.Lett. **106**,026401(2011).
[10] J. B. Forsyth, P. J. Brown, and B. M. Wanklyn, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. **21**,29172929 (1988).
[11] B. Kundys, A. Maignan, and C. Simon, Appl. Phys. Lett. **94**, 072506(2009).
[12] M. Morin, A. Scaramucci, M. Bartkowiak, E. Pomjakushina, G. Deng, D. Sheptyakov, L. Keller, Rodriguez-Carvajal, N. A. Spaldin, M. Kenzelmann, K. Conder, and M. Medarde, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 064408 (2015).
[13] M. Morin, E. Canevet, A. Raynaud, M. Bartkowiak, D. Sheptyakov, B. Voraksmy, M. Kenzelmann, E. Pomjakushina, K. Conder, and M. Medarde, Nat. Commun.**7**,13758 [2016].
[14] Y. Kawamura, et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**,073705073708 (2010).
[15] V. Caignaert, et al. J. Solid State Chem.**114**,2435 (1995).
[16] A.W. Mombru, et al. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **10**, 12471258 (1998).
[17] M. J. Ruiz-Aragon, U. Amador, J. L. Martinez, N. H. Andersen, and Ehrenberg, Phys. Rev. B **58**,62916297 (1998).
[18] A. Scaramucci et al., arXiv 1610.00783
[19] A. Scaramucci et al., arXiv 1610.00784
[20] Surender lal, Sanjay K. Upadhyaya, K. Mukherjee and C.S.Yadav, to be published.
[21] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964)
[22] Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 558 (1993); G. Kresse and J. Furthmller, Comput. Mater. Sci. 6, 15 (1996); G. Kresse and J. Furthmller, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11169 (1996)
[23] P. E. Blchl, Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953 (1994); G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1758 (1999).
[24] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys and A. P. Sutton, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 1505 (1998).
[25] G. Kallias et al. Physica B **234-236** (1997) 608-610.
[26] Bauer, B.et al. The alps project release 2.0: open source software for strongly correlated systems. Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2011, P05001 (2011)